Program Mission
The mission of the undergraduate program in Marketing is to educate students on the role and practice of marketing within an organization, including theoretical and applied aspects of the marketing discipline.

Program Learning Goals

PLG 1: Role & Practice of Marketing
Understand the role and practice of marketing within the organization including theoretical and applied aspects of the marketing discipline.

PLG 2: Marketing Research
Demonstrate proficiency in marketing research skills.

PLG 3: Consumer Behavior
Understand how marketers can design and adapt their campaigns and strategies based on the psychology of their buyers and the processes these buyers employ to learn about, select, use, and dispose of products, so that marketers can create positive social and economic returns.

PLG 4: Marketing Plans & Programs
Understand how to develop, analyze, and evaluate strategic and tactical marketing plans and programs and to assess marketing performance.

Degree Learning Outcomes / Objectives, with Any Associations and Related Measures, Benchmarks, Findings, and Closes the Loop

DLO 1: 1.1 Key Concepts
Define and apply knowledge of key concepts such as the marketing concept, segmentation, targeting, positioning, branding, buyer behavior in both consumer and industrial markets, global marketing applications, the role of the product/service planning, pricing, distribution, and IMC in the marketing process, and the importance of developing a market orientation in the organization to business situations.

Connected Documents
General MKT BSBA - All Courses Curriculum Map
General MKT BSBA - Required Courses Only Curriculum Map

Related Measures

M 1: Archived Annual Assessment Reports, 2007 - 2011
Annual reports were produced starting in 2007 that reported assessment efforts including findings of assessed learning outcomes and action plans/loop closing for the given year. In 2012 SDSU began using WEAVE to report this information and annual written reports were no longer produced. All assessment efforts beginning in 2012 are reported as cycles in WEAVE. The annual reports from 2007 - 2011 are archived in the WEAVE Document Repository and linked here. Benchmarks and Findings listed below are empty in WEAVE as all information for 2007 - 2011 is found in the annual reports.

Source of Evidence: Existing data

Connected Documents
BSBA General MKT 2007 Annual Report
BSBA General MKT 2008 Annual Report
BSBA General MKT 2009 Annual Report
BSBA General MKT 2010 Annual Report
BSBA General MKT 2011 Annual Report

M 2: Assessment Exam for Graduating Seniors
The Senior Exit Exam was reviewed and revised during the 2014-2015 Academic Year. The revised test contain 100 multiple choice items and covers 14 sub-category topics: Marketing Concept/Orientation, Segmentation, Targeting, Positioning, Branding, Industrial Buying, Consumer Behavior, Global Marketing, Product/Service Planning, Pricing, Distribution, Integrated Marketing Communications (IMC), External Factors, and Trends & Developments. Each sub-category includes 8 questions with the exceptions of External Factors and Trends & Developments (5 questions each) and Industrial Buying (2 questions). The test is administered in capstone courses in the Marketing Department -- MKT 479 for General Marketing and Professional Selling & Sales Management specialization students and MKT 472 for IMC specialization students.

Source of Evidence: Standardized test of subject matter knowledge

Benchmarks:
Average score on the questions that contribute to each sub-category should be 70%.

The test was administered to 148 students in Spring 2015. The following table reports the % of students who...
got the questions in a given topic (sub-category) area correct.

### Topics by Order (Strongest to Weakest)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Targeting</td>
<td>86.74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product/Svc Planning</td>
<td>80.57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mktg Concept/Orientation</td>
<td>79.90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positioning</td>
<td>79.39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer Behavior</td>
<td>74.83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segmentation</td>
<td>74.58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrated Mktg Comm</td>
<td>73.90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trends &amp; Developments</td>
<td>69.32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External Factors</td>
<td>61.36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branding</td>
<td>56.59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pricing</td>
<td>50.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Marketing</td>
<td>46.54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distribution</td>
<td>45.78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial Buying</td>
<td>37.50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The benchmark of 70% was met in 7 of the 14 sub-categories and nearly met in one additional category. Six sub-categories, External Factors, Branding, Pricing, Global Marketing, Distribution, and Industrial Buying fell well below the establish benchmark of 70%.

**Finding (2013-2014) - Benchmarks: Not Reported This Cycle**
The Assessment Exam for Graduating Seniors was not administered in 2013-2014. The Marketing Department used this year to review, evaluate, and improve the instrument which had not been revised since 2004.

**Finding (2012 - 2013) - Benchmarks: Partially Met**
Six areas, Pricing, Distribution, Marketing Trends, Product Decisions, Branding, and Positioning did not meet the benchmark in 2012-2013.

### General Results of the Assessment Exam
Past assessments have indicated that students perform most poorly on Goal 1.1(8), Pricing Concepts, with 58.35% of students in 2011 answering these four questions incorrectly. This score is better in 2012-2013, however, there are still a substantial number of students with extremely poor mastery of pricing tactics. This is true even though a "closing the loop" tactic was instituted for Pricing in 2008 - students are now presented a Pricing Assignment in Principles of Marketing (MKT 370). In the 2013 evaluation (measurements taken in Fall, 2012), the following failed to meet the benchmark:

- **Pricing**: 56.3% Incorrect
- **Placement/Distribution**: 48.2% Incorrect
- **Trends in Marketing**: 46.6% Incorrect
- **Product Decision**: 40.2% Incorrect
- **Branding**: 36.3% Incorrect
- **Positioning**: 34.0% Incorrect

The following topics met the benchmark.

- **Segmentation/Targeting**: 26.3% Incorrect
- **External Environment**: 24.6% Incorrect
- **Promotion**: 21.3% Incorrect

**Finding (2011-2012) - Benchmarks: Partially Met**
Five topic areas did not meet the benchmark: Pricing, Distribution, Trends in Marketing, Branding, and Product Decisions. Of these five areas, Pricing was the worst performer. This has been the case every year since administration of the assessment test began. In 2008 the department initiated a required pricing assignment in MKT 370 (Principles of Marketing) however this "closing the loop" activity appears to have had little impact on improving performance in the topic of pricing.

### DLO 2: 1.2 External Forces’ Influences
Explain and demonstrate how marketing decisions are influenced by various forces in the external business environment as well as significant trends and developments affecting current and future marketing practices.

**Connected Documents**
- General MKT BSBA - All Courses Curriculum Map
- General MKT BSBA - Required Courses Only Curriculum Map

**Related Measures**

**M 1: Archived Annual Assessment Reports, 2007 - 2011**
Annual reports were produced starting in 2007 that reported assessment efforts including findings of assessed learning outcomes and action plans/loop closing for the given year. In 2012 SDSU began using WEAVE to report this information and annual written reports were no longer produced. All assessment efforts beginning in 2012 are reported as cycles in WEAVE. The annual reports from 2007 - 2011 are archived in the WEAVE Document Repository and linked here. Benchmarks and Findings listed below are empty in WEAVE as all information for 2007 - 2011 is found in the annual reports.
The Senior Exit Exam was reviewed and revised during the 2014-2015 Academic Year. The revised test contains 100 multiple choice items and covers 14 sub-category topics: Marketing Concept/Orientation, Segmentation, Targeting, Positioning, Branding, Industrial Buying, Consumer Behavior, Global Marketing, Product/Service Planning, Pricing, Distribution, Integrated Marketing Communications (IMC), External Factors, and Trends & Developments. Each sub-category includes 8 questions with the exceptions of External Factors and Trends & Developments (5 questions each) and Industrial Buying (2 questions). The test is administered in capstone courses in the Marketing Department -- MKT 479 for General Marketing and Professional Selling & Sales Management specialization students and MKT 472 for IMC specialization students.

Topics by Order (Strongest to Weakest)
1. Targeting 86.74%
2. Product/Svc Planning 80.57%
3. Mktg Concept/Orientation 79.90%
4. Positioning 79.39%
5. Consumer Behavior 74.83%
6. Segmentation 74.58%
7. Integrated Mktg Comm 73.90%
8. Trends & Developments 69.32%
9. External Factors 61.35%
10. Branding 56.59%
11. Pricing 50.00%
12. Global Marketing 46.54%
13. Distribution 45.78%
14. Industrial Buying 37.50%

The benchmark of 70% was met in 7 of the 14 sub-categories and nearly met in one additional category. Six sub-categories, External Factors, Branding, Pricing, Global Marketing, Distribution, and Industrial Buying fell well below the established benchmark of 70%.

DLO 3: 2.1 Design & Execution of Market Research
Explain how to design and execute marketing research studies using qualitative and quantitative approaches.

Connected Documents
General MKT BSBA - All Courses Curriculum Map
General MKT BSBA - Required Courses Only Curriculum Map

Related Measures
M 1: Archived Annual Assessment Reports, 2007 - 2011
Annual reports were produced starting in 2007 that reported assessment efforts including findings of assessed learning outcomes and action plans/loop closing for the given year. In 2012 SDSU began using WEAVE to report this information and annual written reports were no longer produced. All assessment efforts beginning in 2012 are reported as cycles in WEAVE. The annual reports from 2007 - 2011 are archived in the WEAVE Document Repository and linked here. Benchmarks and Findings listed below are empty in WEAVE as all information for 2007 - 2011 is found in the annual reports.

Source of Evidence: Existing data

Connected Documents
BSBA General MKT 2007 Annual Report
BSBA General MKT 2008 Annual Report
BSBA General MKT 2009 Annual Report
BSBA General MKT 2010 Annual Report
BSBA General MKT 2011 Annual Report

M 3: Research Assignments
In Fall 2015 the Market Research instructors developed and piloted measurements for each of the three Market
Research degree learning outcomes. The three measures were independent and unique but all were administered in the Market Research course as in-class assignments. Descriptions of the research assignments/ measures follow:

- **DLO 2.1**: The instrument used is an online tool, administered approximately 5 to 8 weeks into the semester, which includes 19 questions in total. These 19 questions are organized into three sections; the first section requires the student merely identify the broad category of research design that may be acceptable for the task, while the latter two sections require the student to select a precise research design from a list of available options. For each question, a student is presented a brief scenario describing a business problem or a research objective. Then, the student is tasked with identifying the most appropriate research design to address the business problem. Selecting the best research design is a challenging task for students; they must understand the relative advantages and disadvantages of every research design option presented to them, and then they must understand how the requirements and constraints posed in the business scenario necessitate the use of a particular method over another. The underlying assumption of this instrument is that students consistently selecting the best research design is a valid and reliable reflective indicator of their ability to "explain how to design and execute marketing research studies using quantitative and qualitative approaches.

- **DLO 2.2**: The instrument is an online tool, students received class participation credit for participating in the assessment. The instrument is administered approximately 9 to 12 weeks into the semester. The assessment instrument includes 17 questions in total. These 17 questions are organized into two sections; each section begins with the student being introduced to a brief description of a market research study (business problem, research questions, research design, sampling strategy, analysis strategy, etc.). After reading the scenario, students are tasked with identifying and evaluating the appropriateness of the research design. Multiple choice questions are used for this evaluation.

- **DLO 2.3**: The instrument is administered approximately 12 to 15 weeks into the semester. The assessment instrument includes 20 questions in total. These 20 questions are organized into three sections. In the first section, students are presented with a summary about questionnaire items that were used in a hypothetical survey. Then, the students are posed with the challenge of identifying the correct statistical test to use to evaluate a given hypothesis using the given questionnaire items. In the second section, students are presented with a brief summary of a market research study and a multigroup bar chart. Using the provided information, students must evaluate whether a given interpretation of the results is true, false, or indeterminable. Finally, students are presented with output from a multiple linear regression analysis. They are tasked with interpreting the results.

**Source of Evidence:** Project, either individual or group

**Benchmarks:**
A score of 70% or higher was judged to be evidence of an individual student achieving this learning outcome. In this pilot, no benchmark was set for the percentage of students who should be expected to obtain this 70% level.

**Finding (2015-2016) - Benchmarks: Not Met**
All (3) sections of the Market Research course (MKT 470) participated in the pilot assessment in Fall semester 2015. A total of 104 students completed the assessment during in-class sessions. Students were incentivized to participate in the assignment via class participation credit.

On average, students answered only 50.3% of all questions correctly. Only about 15% of all students scored 70% or better on the assessment. The complete results (mean, st. dev., min, max, and deciles) for the overall score as well as each individual section are reported in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Identify Research Design %</th>
<th>Select Best Research Design (Group 1) %</th>
<th>Select Best Research Design (Group 2) %</th>
<th>Total % Corrected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>.4856</td>
<td>.5596</td>
<td>.4760</td>
<td>.5030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std. Deviation</td>
<td>.21380</td>
<td>.25200</td>
<td>.32960</td>
<td>.16618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>.3000</td>
<td>.4000</td>
<td>.2500</td>
<td>.3664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>.4000</td>
<td>.4000</td>
<td>.2500</td>
<td>.4211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>.4000</td>
<td>.4000</td>
<td>.5000</td>
<td>.4737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>.5000</td>
<td>.5000</td>
<td>.5000</td>
<td>.4737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>.6000</td>
<td>.6000</td>
<td>.5000</td>
<td>.5263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>.6000</td>
<td>.8000</td>
<td>.5000</td>
<td>.5789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>.7000</td>
<td>.8000</td>
<td>.7000</td>
<td>.6316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>.8000</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>.7366</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There is evidence that performance varied across class sections. The bar chart below depicts mean performance across the assessment instrument by course section (3 sections in blue, tan, and purple colors each represent a section). Although it appears that one section (purple) typically outperformed the other two sections, caution should be made when interpreting this finding. After administering the instrument, one instructor realized that not all content covered in the assessment instrument had yet been formally discussed in class. Thus, it stands to reason that student performance would somewhat lag in those particular class sections.
Although no specific benchmark was set for the percentage of students expected to perform at the 70+ level on the assignment, it can be assumed that this benchmark, once established, will be greater than 15%.

**Related Closes the Loop (by Established cycle, then alpha):**
For full information, see the Details of Closes the Loop section of this report.

**MKT 470 Course Content Adjustment**
*Established in Cycle: 2015-2016*
Regarding the results for assessment instrument 2.1, these initial results are informative. A detailed review of the results ...

**DLO 4: 2.2 Evaluate Market Research Studies**
Evaluate market research studies.

**Connected Documents**
- General MKT BSBA - All Courses Curriculum Map
- General MKT BSBA - Required Courses Only Curriculum Map

**Related Measures**

**M 1: Archived Annual Assessment Reports, 2007 - 2011**
Annual reports were produced starting in 2007 that reported assessment efforts including findings of assessed learning outcomes and action plans/loop closing for the given year. In 2012 SDSU began using WEAVE to report this information and annual written reports were no longer produced. All assessment efforts beginning in 2012 are reported as cycles in WEAVE. The annual reports from 2007 - 2011 are archived in the WEAVE Document Repository and linked here. Benchmarks and Findings listed below are empty in WEAVE as all information for 2007 - 2011 is found in the annual reports.

Source of Evidence: Existing data

**Connected Documents**
- BSBA General MKT 2007 Annual Report
- BSBA General MKT 2008 Annual Report
- BSBA General MKT 2009 Annual Report
- BSBA General MKT 2010 Annual Report
- BSBA General MKT 2011 Annual Report

**M 3: Research Assignments**
In Fall 2015 the Market Research instructors developed and piloted measurements for each of the three Market Research degree learning outcomes. The three measures were independent and unique but all were administered in the Market Research course as in-class assignments. Descriptions of the research assignments/measures follow:

- **DLO 2.1: The instrument used is an online tool, administered approximately 5 to 8 weeks into the semester, which includes 19 questions in total. These 19 questions are organized into three sections; the first section requires the student merely identify the broad category of research design that may be acceptable for the task, while the latter two sections require the student to select a precise research design from a list of available options. For each question, a student is presented a brief scenario describing a business problem or a research objective. Then, the student is tasked with identifying the most appropriate research design to address the business problem. Selecting the best research design is a challenging task for students; they must understand the relative advantages and disadvantages of every research design option presented to them, and then they must understand how the requirements and constraints posed in the business scenario necessitate the use of a particular method over another. The underlying assumption of this instrument is that students consistently selecting the best research design is a valid and reliable reflective indicator of their ability to “explain how to design and execute marketing research studies using quantitative and qualitative approaches.**
**DLO 5.2**: The instrument is an online tool, students received class participation credit for participating in the assessment. The instrument is administered approximately 9 to 12 weeks into the semester. The assessment instrument includes 17 questions in total. These 17 questions are organized into two sections; each section begins with the student being introduced to a brief description of a market research study (business problem, research questions, research design, sampling strategy, analysis strategy, etc.). After reading the scenario, students are tasked with identifying and evaluating the appropriateness of the research design. Multiple choice questions are used for this evaluation.

**DLO 2.3**: The instrument is administered approximately 12 to 15 weeks into the semester. The assessment instrument includes 20 questions in total. These 20 questions are organized into three sections. In the first section, students are presented with a summary about questionnaire items that were used in a hypothetical survey. Then, the students are posed with the challenge of identifying the correct statistical test to use to evaluate a given hypothesis using the given questionnaire items. In the second section, students are presented with a brief summary of a market research study and a multigroup bar chart. Using the provided information, students must evaluate whether a given interpretation of the results is true, false, or indeterminable. Finally, students are presented with output from a multiple linear regression analysis. They are tasked with interpreting the results.

Source of Evidence: Project, either individual or group

**Benchmarks:**
A score of 70% or higher was judged to be evidence of an individual student achieving this learning outcome. In this pilot, no benchmark was set for the percentage of students who should be expected to obtain this 70% level.

**Finding (2015-2016) - Benchmarks: Not Met**
All (3) sections of the Market Research course (MKT 470) participated in the pilot assessment in Fall semester 2015. A total of 96 students completed the assessment during in-class sessions. Students were incentivized to participate in the assignment via class participation credit. On average, students answered only 64.3% of all questions correctly. Only 18% of all students scored 70% or better on the assessment. Although no specific benchmark was set for the percentage of students expected to perform at the 70+ level on the assignment, it can be assumed that this benchmark, once established, will be greater than 18%. An important insight from a detailed review of student responses reveals that students particularly struggled with identifying the consequences of a research design using a probabilistic vs. non-probabilistic sampling approach. This is an important gap that needs to be closed because marketers who do not recognize the limitations of non-probabilistic samples are likely to misinterpret how market research can be applied to addressing a marketing problem.

**DLO 5: 2.3 Use Statistical Software for Analysis**
Use industry-standard marketing research statistical software such as SPSS for data analysis and interpretation of marketing research results.

**Connected Documents**
- General MKT BSBA - All Courses Curriculum Map
- General MKT BSBA - Required Courses Only Curriculum Map

**Related Measures**

**M 1: Archived Annual Assessment Reports, 2007 - 2011**
Annual reports were produced starting in 2007 that reported assessment efforts including findings of assessed learning outcomes for the given year. In 2012 SDSU began using WEAVER to collect this information and annual written reports were no longer produced. All assessment efforts beginning in 2012 are reported as cycles in WEAVER. The annual reports from 2007 - 2011 are archived in the WEAVER Document Repository and linked here. Benchmarks and Findings listed below are empty in WEAVER as all information for 2007 - 2011 is found in the annual reports.

Source of Evidence: Existing data

**Connected Documents**
- BSBA General MKT 2007 Annual Report
- BSBA General MKT 2008 Annual Report
- BSBA General MKT 2009 Annual Report
- BSBA General MKT 2010 Annual Report
- BSBA General MKT 2011 Annual Report

**M 3: Research Assignments**
In Fall 2015 the Market Research instructors developed and piloted measurements for each of the three Market Research degree learning outcomes. The three measures were independent and unique but all were administered in the Market Research course as in-class assignments. Descriptions of the research assignments/measure follow:

- **DLO 2.1**: The instrument used is an online tool, administered approximately 5 to 8 weeks into the semester, which includes 19 questions in total. These 19 questions are organized into three sections; the first section requires the student merely identify the broad category of research design that may be acceptable for the task, while the latter two sections require the student to select a precise research design from a list of available options. For each question, a student is presented a brief scenario describing a business problem or a research objective. Then, the student is tasked with identifying the most appropriate research design to address the business problem. Selecting the best research design is a challenging task for students; they must understand the relative advantages and disadvantages of every research design option presented to them, and then they must understand how the requirements and constraints posed in the business scenario necessitate the use of a particular method over another. The underlying assumption of this instrument is that students consistently selecting the best research design is a valid and reliable reflective indicator of their ability to “explain how to design and execute marketing research studies using quantitative and qualitative approaches.

- **DLO 2.2**: The instrument is an online tool, students received class participation credit for participating in the assessment. The instrument is administered approximately 9 to 12 weeks into the semester. The assessment instrument includes 17 questions in total. These 17 questions are organized into two sections; each section begins with the student being introduced to a brief description of a market research study (business problem, research questions, research design, sampling strategy, analysis strategy, etc.). After
reading the scenario, students are tasked with identifying and evaluating the appropriateness of the research
design. Multiple choice questions are used for this evaluation.

- **DLO 2.3**: The instrument is administered approximately 12 to 15 weeks into the semester. The assessment
instrument includes 20 questions in total. These 20 questions are organized into three sections. In the first
section, students are presented with a summary about questionnaire items that were used in a hypothetical
survey. Then, the students are posed with the challenge of identifying the correct statistical test to use to evaluate
a given hypothesis using the given questionnaire items. In the second section, students are presented with a brief summary of a market research study and a multigroup bar chart. Using the provided
information, students must evaluate whether a given interpretation of the results is true, false, or
indeterminable. Finally, students are presented with output from a multiple linear regression analysis. They
are tasked with interpreting the results.

Source of Evidence: Project, either individual or group

**Benchmarks:**
A score of 70% or higher was judged to be evidence of an individual student achieving this learning outcome. In
this pilot, no benchmark was set for the percentage of students who should be expected to obtain this 70% level.

**Finding (2015-2016) - Benchmarks: Not Met**
All (3) sections of the Market Research course (MKT 470) participated in the pilot assessment in Fall semester
2015. A total of 72 students completed the assessment during in-class sessions. Students were incentivized
to participate in the assignment via class participation credit. On average, students answered only 49.0% of all
questions correctly. Only 8% of all students scored 70% or better on the assessment. Although no specific
benchmark was set for the percentage of students expected to perform at the 70% level on the assignment, it
can be assumed that this benchmark, once established, will be greater than 8%.

**DLO 6: 3.1 Describe Psychology**
Describe the psychology of how buyers learn, feel, reason, and make decisions that are influenced by their context and
processing strategies.

**Connected Documents**
- General MKT BSBA - All Courses Curriculum Map
- General MKT BSBA - Required Courses Only Curriculum Map

**Related Measures**
- M 4: Consumer Behavior Assignment
  An individual assignment designed to assess the Consumer Behavior related DLOs is under development by the
  Consumer Behavior (MKT 371) instructors.

**Source of Evidence:** Project, either individual or group

**DLO 7: 3.2 Outline Processes**
Outline the processes that buyers employ to learn about, select, use, and dispose of produces and describe the impact
these processes have on the individual, firm, and environment.

**Connected Documents**
- General MKT BSBA - All Courses Curriculum Map
- General MKT BSBA - Required Courses Only Curriculum Map

**Related Measures**
- M 4: Consumer Behavior Assignment
  An individual assignment designed to assess the Consumer Behavior related DLOs is under development by the
  Consumer Behavior (MKT 371) instructors.

**Source of Evidence:** Project, either individual or group

**DLO 8: 3.3 Recommendations**
Recommend ways in which marketers can adapt and improve their marketing campaigns and strategies to more effectively
identify, reach, and communicate with their target markets.

**Connected Documents**
- General MKT BSBA - All Courses Curriculum Map
- General MKT BSBA - Required Courses Only Curriculum Map

**Related Measures**
- M 4: Consumer Behavior Assignment
  An individual assignment designed to assess the Consumer Behavior related DLOs is under development by the
  Consumer Behavior (MKT 371) instructors.

**Source of Evidence:** Project, either individual or group

**DLO 9: 4.1 Develop & Analyze Plans with Various Mix Elements**
Develop and analyze marketing strategies and plans that include various elements of the marketing mix.

**Connected Documents**
- General MKT BSBA - All Courses Curriculum Map
- General MKT BSBA - Required Courses Only Curriculum Map

**Related Measures**
- M 1: Archived Annual Assessment Reports, 2007 - 2011
  Annual reports were produced starting in 2007 that reported assessment efforts including findings of assessed
  learning outcomes and action plans/loop closing for the given year. In 2012 SDSU began using WEAVE to report this
  information and annual written reports were no longer produced. All assessment efforts beginning in 2012 are
  reported as cycles in WEAVE. The annual reports from 2007 - 2011 are archived in the WEAVE Document
  Repository and linked here. Benchmarks and Findings listed below are empty in WEAVE as all information for 2007 -
  2011 is found in the annual reports.
M 5: Case Analysis
The assessment tool used to measure the DLOs related to PLG #3, Marketing Plans & Programs is a faculty analysis of capstone students' ability to analyze a case and make managerially sound recommendations and strategic plans. Students individually prepare the case and then in-class write an analysis of the problems management at the firm faces in branding, marketing, and distribution. The case is reviewed across students for the DLOs using a rubric. The assessment is rated on a five-point scale by two faculty members independently employing the same rubric.

Source of Evidence: Capstone course assignments measuring mastery

Benchmarks:
100% of students are expected to score at least a "3" on a 5 point performance scale.

Finding (2013-2014) - Benchmarks: Not Reported This Cycle
The DLOs (7 - 12) linked to the Marketing Plans & Programs PLG (3) were not assessed during the 2013-2014 academic year. The General Marketing Assessment Plan underwent a major overhaul during this academic year. New measures for assessing these DLOs will be introduced in 2014-2015.

Finding (2012 - 2013) - Benchmarks: Not Reported This Cycle
In none of the sections of MKTG 479 this academic year were there individually written case analyses in a test situation that could be used for evaluation of students' ability to meet this DLO.

Finding (2011-2012) - Benchmarks: Met

SLO 3.1: Develop marketing strategies and plans that include various elements of the marketing mix. Average Score: 4.4

DLO 10: 4.2 Evaluate and Assess Plans with Various Mix Elements
Evaluate and assess marketing strategies and plans that include various elements of the marketing mix.

Connected Documents
General MKT BSBA - All Courses Curriculum Map
General MKT BSBA - Required Courses Only Curriculum Map

Related Measures

M 1: Archived Annual Assessment Reports, 2007 - 2011
Annual reports were produced starting in 2007 that reported assessment efforts including findings of assessed learning outcomes and action plans loop closing for the given year. In 2012 SDSU began using WEAVE to report this information and annual written reports were no longer produced. All assessment efforts beginning in 2012 are reported as cycles in WEAVE. The annual reports from 2007 - 2011 are archived in the WEAVE Document Repository and linked here. Benchmarks and Findings listed below are empty in WEAVE as all information for 2007 - 2011 is found in the annual reports.

Source of Evidence: Existing data

Connected Documents
BSBA General MKT 2007 Annual Report
BSBA General MKT 2008 Annual Report
BSBA General MKT 2009 Annual Report
BSBA General MKT 2010 Annual Report
BSBA General MKT 2011 Annual Report

M 5: Case Analysis
The assessment tool used to measure the DLOs related to PLG #3, Marketing Plans & Programs is a faculty analysis of capstone students' ability to analyze a case and make managerially sound recommendations and strategic plans. Students individually prepare the case and then in-class write an analysis of the problems management at the firm faces in branding, marketing, and distribution. The case is reviewed across students for the DLOs using a rubric. The assessment is rated on a five-point scale by two faculty members independently employing the same rubric.

Source of Evidence: Capstone course assignments measuring mastery

Benchmarks:
100% of students are expected to score at least a "3" on a 5 point performance scale.

Finding (2013-2014) - Benchmarks: Not Reported This Cycle
The DLOs (7 - 12) linked to the Marketing Plans & Programs PLG (3) were not assessed during the 2013-2014 academic year. The General Marketing Assessment Plan underwent a major overhaul during this academic year. New measures for assessing these DLOs will be introduced in 2014-2015.

Finding (2012 - 2013) - Benchmarks: Not Reported This Cycle
In none of the sections of MKTG 479 this academic year were there individually written case analyses in a test situation that could be used for evaluation of students' ability to meet this DLO.

Finding (2011-2012) - Benchmarks: Met

SLO 3.3: Evaluate and assess marketing strategies and plans that include various elements of the marketing mix. Average Score: 4.2

DLO 11: 4.3 Analyze Using Primary & Secondary Data
Analyze markets and customers utilizing primary and secondary sources of information.

Connected Documents
General MKT BSBA - All Courses Curriculum Map
General MKT BSBA - Required Courses Only Curriculum Map

Related Measures

M 1: Archived Annual Assessment Reports, 2007 - 2011
Annual reports were produced starting in 2007 that reported assessment efforts including findings of assessed learning outcomes and action plans/loop closing for the given year. In 2012 SDSU began using WEAVE to report this information and annual written reports were no longer produced. All assessment efforts beginning in 2012 are reported as cycles in WEAVE. The annual reports from 2007 - 2011 are archived in the WEAVE Document Repository and linked here. Benchmarks and Findings listed below are empty in WEAVE as all information for 2007 - 2011 is found in the annual reports.

Source of Evidence: Existing data

Connected Documents
BSBA General MKT 2007 Annual Report
BSBA General MKT 2008 Annual Report
BSBA General MKT 2009 Annual Report
BSBA General MKT 2010 Annual Report
BSBA General MKT 2011 Annual Report

M 5: Case Analysis
The assessment tool used to measure the DLOs related to PLG #3, Marketing Plans & Programs is a faculty analysis of capstone students’ ability to analyze a case and make managerially sound recommendations and strategic plans. Students individually prepare the case and then in-class write an analysis of the problems management at the firm faces in branding, marketing, and distribution. The case is reviewed across students for the DLOs using a rubric. The assessment is rated on a five-point scale by two faculty members independently employing the same rubric.

Source of Evidence: Capstone course assignments measuring mastery

Benchmarks:
100% of students are expected to score at least a “3” on a 5 point performance scale.

Finding (2013-2014) - Benchmarks: Not Reported This Cycle
The DLOs (7 - 12) linked to the Marketing Plans & Programs PLG (3) were not assessed during the 2013-2014 academic year. The General Marketing Assessment Plan underwent a major overhaul during this academic year. New measures for assessing these DLOs will be introduced in 2014-2015.

Finding (2012 - 2013) - Benchmarks: Not Reported This Cycle
In none of the sections of MKTG 479 this academic year were there individually written case analyses in a test situation that could be used for evaluation of students’ ability to meet this DLO.

Finding (2011-2012) - Benchmarks: Not Reported This Cycle
The case analysis did not provide for secondary sources of information.

SLO 3.4: Analyze markets and customers utilizing secondary sources of information. Not Measured

DLO 12: 4.4 Analyze Problems and Develop Solutions
Analyze marketing problems and issues facing organizations and develop solutions and recommendations.

Connected Documents
General MKT BSBA - All Courses Curriculum Map
General MKT BSBA - Required Courses Only Curriculum Map

Related Measures

M 1: Archived Annual Assessment Reports, 2007 - 2011
Annual reports were produced starting in 2007 that reported assessment efforts including findings of assessed learning outcomes and action plans/loop closing for the given year. In 2012 SDSU began using WEAVE to report this information and annual written reports were no longer produced. All assessment efforts beginning in 2012 are reported as cycles in WEAVE. The annual reports from 2007 - 2011 are archived in the WEAVE Document Repository and linked here. Benchmarks and Findings listed below are empty in WEAVE as all information for 2007 - 2011 is found in the annual reports.

Source of Evidence: Existing data

Connected Documents
BSBA General MKT 2007 Annual Report
BSBA General MKT 2008 Annual Report
BSBA General MKT 2009 Annual Report
BSBA General MKT 2010 Annual Report
BSBA General MKT 2011 Annual Report

M 5: Case Analysis
The assessment tool used to measure the DLOs related to PLG #3, Marketing Plans & Programs is a faculty analysis of capstone students’ ability to analyze a case and make managerially sound recommendations and strategic plans. Students individually prepare the case and then in-class write an analysis of the problems management at the firm faces in branding, marketing, and distribution. The case is reviewed across students for the DLOs using a rubric. The
assessment is rated on a five-point scale by two faculty members independently employing the same rubric.

Source of Evidence: Capstone course assignments measuring mastery

**Benchmarks:**

100% of students are expected to score at least a “3” on a 5 point performance scale.

**Finding (2013-2014) - Benchmarks: Not Reported This Cycle**

The DLOs (7 - 12) linked to the Marketing Plans & Programs PLG (3) were not assessed during the 2013-2014 academic year. The General Marketing Assessment Plan underwent a major overhaul during this academic year. New measures for assessing these DLOs will be introduced in 2014-2015.

**Finding (2012 - 2013) - Benchmarks: Not Reported This Cycle**

In none of the sections of MKTG 479 this academic year were there individually written case analyses in a test situation that could be used for evaluation of students’ ability to meet this DLO.

**Finding (2011-2012) - Benchmarks: Met**

**SLO 3.6: Analyze Problems with a Market and Develop Solutions**

**Details of Closes the Loop for This Cycle (by Established cycle, then alpha)**

**SLO 1.1 Basic Concepts: Pricing**

The area in which Marketing and IMC BSBA seniors score consistently the poorest is Pricing Tactics and Strategies, with less than one-third of students performing acceptably on pricing knowledge on the Marketing Assessment exam administered in the capstone classes, MKTG 472 and 479. A pricing assignment, introduced four semesters ago, was continued in both semesters and summer of 2011. This assignment, rewritten each semester but following roughly the same format, applies the theory and practice of price setting to a case scenario. It is the Department's goal to have all students in the required course, Principles of Marketing, complete the assignment. In 2011, 85% of all students, not only marketing majors, were administered the Pricing Assignment.

The majority of the students taking the assignment did so online. In conjunction with that online delivery, students had access to an online tutorial to which they could refer. The pricing assignment has now been introduced to a significant number of students and over several semesters so that some of them will soon be matriculating in the capstone course in which the senior exam is administered. As expected by Fall, 2011, results of the senior exam indicated a positive effect from the additional assignment employed in the first required course.

**Established in Cycle: 2011-2012**

**Implementation Status: In-Progress**

**Priority: High**

**Implementation Description:** A Pricing Assignment was developed to be included in all sections of the Principles of Marketing class, MKTG 370 and first introduced in Summer, 2008. Minimal adjustments were made to the assignment and it was used in many sections of the Principles of Marketing class beginning in Fall, 2008. In Spring and Fall, 2011, the Pricing Assignment was rewritten semester so that student ability was actually being measured and not passed along from semester to semester.

**Responsible Person/Group:** Olson

**Revision of Measurement Tools**

The measurement tool being used to assess the Marketing Research SLOs is being re-evaluated by the Marketing Department. Currently and in the past these SLOs have been measured via multiple choice questions on the mid-term and final exam in MKT 470 (Market Research) however the department believes that measures other than responses on a standardized test will produce more valid assessments. These measures are being developed as a Close the Loop activity.

**Established in Cycle: 2012 - 2013**

**Implementation Status:** Planned

**Priority: High**

**Revision of Measurement Tools**

The measurement tool being used to assess the Marketing Research SLOs is being re-evaluated by the Marketing Department. Currently and in the past these SLOs have been measured via multiple choice questions on the mid-term and final exam in MKT 470 (Market Research) however the department believes that measures other than responses on a standardized test will produce more valid assessments. These measures are being developed as a Close the Loop activity.

**Established in Cycle: 2012 - 2013**

**Implementation Status:** Planned

**Priority: High**

**Revision of Measurement Tools**

The measurement tool being used to assess the Marketing Research SLOs is being re-evaluated by the Marketing Department. Currently and in the past these SLOs have been measured via multiple choice questions on the mid-term and final exam in MKT 470 (Market Research) however the department believes that measures other than responses on a standardized test will produce more valid assessments. These measures are being developed as a Close the Loop activity.

**Established in Cycle: 2012 - 2013**

**Revision of Measurement Tools**

The measurement tool being used to assess the Marketing Research SLOs is being re-evaluated by the Marketing Department. Currently and in the past these SLOs have been measured via multiple choice questions on the mid-term and final exam in MKT 470 (Market Research) however the department believes that measures other than responses on a standardized test will produce more valid assessments. These measures are being developed as a Close the Loop activity.

**Established in Cycle: 2012 - 2013**
MKT 470 Course Content Adjustment

Regarding the results for assessment instrument 2.1, these initial results are informative. A detailed review of the results suggested that students had difficulty distinguishing between the need for descriptive vs. causal research and that they had difficulty understanding the relative merits of cross-sectional vs. longitudinal research designs. More detailed coverage of these topics will be integrated into the MKT 470 course content.

Established in Cycle: 2015-2016
Implementation Status: Planned
Priority: High

Relationships (Measure | Student Learning Outcomes):

Measure: Research Assignments | Student Learning Outcomes: 2.1 Design & Execution of Market Research